IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 16797 of 2001

Between:

1 S.Satyanarayana, S/o. Bikshamaiah, age 34Yrs.,
R/o. H.No.1-1-17/11, Chikkadpally, Hyderabad,
Permanent R/o. 2-92, Garidipally Village & Mandal, Nalgonda District.
2 L.Shyam Sundar Reddy, S/o. L. Malla Reddy, age 3Yrs.,
R/o. H.No. 9-25/1, First Floor, Opp: Rationship Lalitha Nagar,

Dilsukhnagar, Hyderabad,
Permanent R/o. 1-97, Chowtuppal Village & Mandal, Nalgonda

District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Principal Secretary, Department of Education,
Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
2 The Registrar, Potti Sreeramulu Telugu University,
Public Garden, Nampally, Hyderabad.
3 Head of the Department, Folk Arts,
Potti Sreeramulu Telugu University, Public Garden,
Nampally, Hyderabad.
4 N.Rajesh Kumar Reddy, M.Phil, Folk Arts, Folk Arts Department,
Potti Sreeramulu Telugu University, Hyderabad.
5 Smt.Anitha, Dance Teacher,
Government High School, Nampally, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue writ, order, or direction mostly and preferably one in the nature of Writ of

Certiorari or any other appropriate writ, directing the respondents to produce all the concerned test papers (Answered papers) of all the candidates appeared and written M.Phil Folk Arts Entrance Test Conducted by the Potti Sriramulu Telugu University, dt. 27-6-2001 MPL - 11/2001 quash the same in response to paper notification dt. 4-5-2001 vide notification No.16/Edn/2001-2002 and said papers may kindly be well corrected and revalued by the professors of either Rajahmundry, Srisailam or Kuchipudi Campus Staff.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR. BRAHMADANDI RAMESH (NOT PRESENT)

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP FOR HIGHER EDUCATION

Counsel for respondents 2 & 3 : MR.D.V.SITHARAMA MURTHY

Counsel for respondents 4 & 5 : M/s. M.RAMESH

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

On 21-6-2005 none appeared for petitioner nor any representation made on their behalf. Today, though the matter is listed under the caption “for dismissal” none appeared for the petitioner in the forenoon and the matter was passed over and again taken up, however, none appeared for petitioner.

Learned counsel for respondent submits that the cause in the writ petition does not survive and it has become infructuous.

In the circumstances, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA,J

22-6-2005

TVK

To

1 The Principal Secretary, Department of Education,
Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
2 The Registrar, Potti Sreeramulu Telugu University,
Public Garden, Nampally, Hyderabad.
3 Head of the Department, Folk Arts,
Potti Sreeramulu Telugu University, Public Garden,
Nampally, Hyderabad.

4 Two copies to G.P. for High Edcuation, High Court buildings,

Hyderabad. (O.U.T.)

5 Two C.D. copies.